THE HONORABLE THOMAS ZILLY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

FRANCISCO MIRANDA, an individual,

Plaintiff,

100

ALASKA LONGLINE, LLC, OCEAN PROWLER, LLC and PROWLER FISHERIES, LLC..

Case No. 2:15-cv-00148-TSZ

STIPULATED JUDGMENT, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND COVENANT NOT TO EXECUTE

Defendants.

**PARTIES** 

This Stipulated Judgment, Settlement Agreement and Covenant Not to Execute

("Agreement") is entered into by and between Defendants Alaska Longline, LLC, Ocean

Prowler, LLC and Prowler Fisheries, LLC (collectively "Defendants") and Plaintiff

Francisco Miranda ("Plaintiff"). Defendants and Plaintiff are collectively referred to

T.

16.

15.

1

2.

3.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.22.

herein as "the Parties."

23.

24.

25.26.

II. AUTHORITY

The Parties have consulted with their respective counsel and are mindful of the authorities of *Besel v. Viking Ins. Co.*, 146 Wn.2d 730, 49 P.3d 887 (2002) and *Howard v.* 

STIPULATED JUDGMENT, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND COVENANT NOT TO EXECUTE - 1

HOLMES WEDDLE & BARCOTT, PC
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 2600
SEATTLE, WA 98104-4011
TELEPHONE (206) 292-8008
FAX (206) 340-0289

26.

Royal Specialty Underwriting, Inc., 121 Wn.App. 372, 89 P.3d 265 (2004), which the Parties believe to be instructive as to the mechanism for entering into stipulated judgments and assignments of claims.

## III. PURPOSE OF THE AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to secure a judgment against Defendants for the benefit of Plaintiff and to protect Defendants' assets, earnings and individual liability from claims by Plaintiff that will very likely result in a verdict exceeding Defendants' one-million-dollar Employment Practices Liability policy limit.

## IV. RECITATIONS OF THE PARTIES CONCERNING LIABILITY

This action arises out of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants aboard the fishing vessel Ocean Prowler with claims under the Washington Law Against Discrimination, RCW 49.60, et seq. ("WLAD"), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e), et seq. ("Title VII"), and 42 U.S.C. § 1981, et seq. ("Section 1981"). Plaintiff alleges that Defendants subjected him to harassment and discrimination on the basis of his race (Hispanic) and national origin (Mexican), and retaliation for engaging in protected activity in violation of WLAD, Title VII and Section 1981.

A. Plaintiff has presented substantial evidence supporting his claims of race and national-origin-based harassment, discrimination and retaliation.

Plaintiff's Allegations and Evidence: Mr. Miranda has produced direct evidence of Defendants' discriminatory animus and racially harassing conduct, including the sworn testimony of multiple third-party witnesses. These witnesses claim to have seen and heard the highest levels of management on board the vessel, the Captain and the First Mate making egregious, racially offensive comments to or about Mr. Miranda and other Hispanics or African Americans.

25.

26.

1.

2

Mr. Miranda is a 37 year old Hispanic and of Mexican national origin. He was born in Los Angeles and grew up mostly in the United States, though he has also lived in Mexico. He speaks English and Spanish fluently. He worked as a Processor for Defendants on a 180 foot fishing vessel called the Ocean Prowler. He completed about eight back-to-back fishing voyages on the Bering Sea with Defendants.

Mr. Miranda and other Hispanic crewmembers were subjected to severe and pervasive racial harassment. Crewmember Syrian Seth (Caucasian) witnessed Captain Jay Monroe and First Mate Nick Robbins refer to Mexican employees as "Wetbacks," Beaners," "Dirty Mexicans" and "Stinky Mexicans" multiple times. Chief Steward Steven Brett (also Caucasian), who had extensive contact with Captain Jay Monroe through constantly serving him food and drink in the wheelhouse, testified similarly. He testified in a declaration that Captain Monroe "was racist towards the Mexican people on the boat... He would call them 'Spics,' 'Wetbacks,' and 'Beaners,'" and made comments such as, "Fucking Mexicans ought to be back in Mexico," "They [Mexicans] should all swim back to Mexico," and "Fucking Mexicans are taking white guys' jobs." Chief Steward Brett testified that he personally witnessed Captain Monroe call Mr. Miranda a "god damn Spic" after Mr. Miranda arrived at a meeting.

Additionally, crewmember Jose Gonzalez (Hispanic) confirmed Captain Monroe's racially harassing conduct in an interview with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Gonzales told the EEOC that Captain Monroe treated Mexicans different than their white crewmates and would say things like, "oh that's right you are lazy and you are Mexican," and Mexicans are "lazy and that they couldn't even take care of their own country and they came here (to the U.S.) and we, meaning the Americans... pay for your kids."

26.

Captain Monroe directed racially offensive comments against other non-whites using the most degrading and demeaning terms. According to Chief Steward Brett: "It was an almost daily thing. He used and said these things all the time." According to Syrian Seth "I heard Captain Monroe and First Mate Robins frequently refer to the Mexican employees as 'Wetback,' 'Beaner,' 'Dirty Mexicans,' and 'Stinky Mexicans.'" Mr. Seth and Mr. Brett also testified that they heard Monroe use the word "Nigger" frequently and pervasively.

Chief Steward Brett testified that Monroe used the word "Nigger" "[a]ll the time, especially if he was mad at someone." And according to Seth, "I heard Captain Monroe and First Mate Robbins state they 'Could not stand black Niggers,' and that they were glad black people were killing each other off through gang violence." Seth also testified that Monroe called President Obama a "Nigger" who should be assassinated, said "he would not let a 'Nigger' inside of his house," called hip-hop music "Nigger music," and stated he "would never allow his daughter to 'marry a Nigger, or any race besides white." Mr. Seth testified that these racially offensive comments "were being made in the wheelhouse openly and on the floor in front of Francisco Miranda and Jose Gonzales."

Mr. Gonzales stated that Captain Monroe would refer to people being "hit with a nigger beater," meaning a policeman's stick. Captain Monroe's use of the word "Nigger" and regular use of other racial slurs contributed substantially to the hostile environment directed against non-whites.

Mr. Miranda and multiple other witnesses have testified that on a voyage with Defendants, they witnessed a Hispanic crewmember, Oracio Gomez-Jimenez, being literally worked to death. Crewmembers Oscar Gandarilla, Oliver Nava, Emilio Vargas

1.

Xhurape and Francisco Miranda testified that Monroe repeatedly told the Hispanic workers that, if they quit during this voyage, they would not get paid, forcing Mr. Gomez-Jimenez to work despite being deathly ill. Chief Steward Brett testified that the same day that Gomez-Jimenez died, Jay Monroe had come into the galley and told Mr. Brett that "Oracio was a 'lazy fucking Mexican,' 'dumb motherfucker,' 'a wetback' and 'always falling asleep.'"

According to Oliver Nava, Oscar Gandarilla and other witnesses, even as Mr. Gomez-Jimenez became unable to breathe normally or walk without assistance, First Mate Monroe yelled at him over the loudspeaker, "Hey! Wake UP!" and ordered him to work. Monroe told the Hispanic crew that if they didn't like how he was treating them, including Mr. Gomez-Jimenez, they could quit and "swim back to Mexico."

According to these witnesses, and as alleged in a lawsuit later filed by Mr. Gomez-Jimenez's estate, he eventually died after being forced to work 22 to 24 hour shifts despite his obvious illness. Witnesses have testified that, following his death, Defendants forced other Hispanic processors for days to work in the presence of Gomez-Jimenez's body, which Defendants callously and invidiously ordered to be left exposed on deck—despite objections from Hispanic crewmembers. Mr. Miranda testified that fellow Hispanic Crewmember Gomez-Jimenez was "put into a survival suit which was then wrapped in plastic and secured with duct tape. The body was placed in an area where we had to walk by and work around it on a daily basis." Steve Brett similarly testified: "I had to step over the body to get in and out of the walk-in freezer. They kept the body there for several days. I told the captain I didn't like it, but they didn't move it."

Mr. Miranda will testify that Defendants' callous treatment of Mr. Gomez-Jimenez was intentionally disrespectful and harassing toward Mr. Miranda and other

25.

26.

Hispanic crewmembers, and that a white crewmember would not be treated in such a manner. Mr. Miranda became very depressed and discouraged by Defendants' treatment of Mr. Gomez-Jimenez.

Mr. Miranda has provided direct testimony of discriminatory motive for his termination. Mr. Seth testified that he was present and heard Captain Monroe and First Mate Robbins discussing Mr. Miranda and deciding to fire him because he was Mexican. Mr. Seth testified:

Captain Monroe told First Mate Robbins that they should fire Mr. Miranda and get rid of him permanently. He said Mr. Miranda should be fired because he was Mexican and other crewmembers did not like to take orders from him. Captain Monroe also said that he wanted to fire another Hispanic processor, Jose Gonzalez, because he "smelled like a dirty Mexican" and never took showers. First Mate Robbins exclaimed something to the effect of "Thank god we are getting rid of Cisco [Mr. Miranda]. I've had enough of that Mexican! I can't stand him!"

Mr. Miranda filed a charge with the EEOC. The EEOC determined that there was reasonable cause to believe that Mr. Miranda had been subjected to an "illegal hostile working environment, consisting of harassment on the basis of his national origin." The Ninth Circuit has unequivocally held that an EEOC finding that there is reasonable cause to believe an employer committed discrimination is per se admissible in trial. *Plummer v. Western Intern. Hotels Co., Inc.*, 656 F.2d 502, 505 (9th Cir. 1981).

The above are primarily allegations supported by third-party witnesses and are not exhaustive of the allegations supporting liability in this case.

B. Defendants agree and admit that they are very likely to face a verdict and judgment that exceeds their one-million-dollar insurance policy limits.

Defendants are insured for employment practices under an Employment Practices
Liability Insurance Policy with United States Liability Insurance Group (USLI) with a
one-million-dollar policy limit. Based on the substantial evidence supporting Mr.

2.

3.

Miranda's claims, Defendants have agreed and admitted that Mr. Miranda's chances of successfully prosecuting his lawsuit are very high and that his damages likely will exceed the one-million dollar policy limits, even without factoring in a supplemental judgment for fees and costs that would shift to Defendants if Miranda prevailed.

The Parties also agreed in the Joint Status Report in this case that completing discovery would require 20 or more depositions, most of which would occur outside of Washington and/or the United States. Many of the witnesses reside in Alaska, Arizona and various other states and some also live in Mexico. Under the insurance policy, any supplemental judgment for attorney's fees and costs is included in the policy limit. Thus, Defendants are subject to a significant "excess" verdict beyond their insurance limits.

Defendants have no excess coverage. In addition, there are claims for punitive damages, which have a substantial likelihood of success and the insurer, USLI, declined coverage for those punitive damages, thus leaving Defendants exposed to an even larger uninsured claim for punitive damages.

# C. Defendants' insurer had an opportunity to settle the case within policy limits but refused, thereby subjecting Defendants to excess liability.

Mediation has occurred on two occasions concerning Plaintiff's claims. The mediator, defense counsel and independent counsel for the assured (Michael Barcott) have all opined that this case carries a very high risk of exceeding the insurance policy limits.

Following the second mediation in this matter, this case could have been settled for something slightly less than the remaining policy limits. In spite of letters and emails from defense counsel and independent counsel from the assured, underwriters declined the opportunity to negotiate further by failing to even agree to a bracket requiring them to come in well below the policy limits. A demand within the policy limits expired on May

25.

26.

9, 2016. Plaintiff Miranda had noted five depositions, and was no longer willing to settle within policy limits removing the last offer from negotiations.

Defendants approached Plaintiff's counsel to prepare this stipulated judgment, settlement agreement and covenant not to sue, after determining that their insurer had acted in bad faith by refusing to pay less than policy limits to resolve this case prior to exposing Defendants to a substantial excess judgment.

## V. RECITATIONS BY THE PARTIES CONCERNING DAMAGES

Mr. Miranda alleges that he has suffered substantial economic and emotional distress damages as a result of the discrimination, harassment, and retaliation he suffered. In addition, Plaintiff seeks uncapped punitive damages under his claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1981, et seq. As a result of the actions of Defendants and of the agents of those for whom they are responsible, Mr. Miranda alleges that he has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress and substantial wage loss.

Mr. Miranda has provided evidence that he worked very hard and performed well, but Captain Monroe refused to promote successful Hispanic processors, like Miranda to a deckhand position that paid substantially more than processors. Several white processors with far less experience were promoted over Mr. Miranda to the deckhand position. Instead, Mr. Miranda was promoted to the lead processor position that increased his responsibilities but not his pay.

Mr. Miranda will testify that he felt at times that his life was in jeopardy. He witnessed a Hispanic processor, Oracio Gomez-Jimenez, die from what looked to him to be overwork and neglect. Crewmembers Oscar Gandarilla, Oliver Nava, Emilio Vargas Xhurape and Francisco Miranda testified that Captain Monroe repeatedly told the Hispanic workers that, if they quit during this voyage, they would not get paid, forcing

24.

25.

26.

Mr. Gomez-Jimenez to work despite being deathly ill. Mr. Miranda endured that environment and will testify that his life, like Mr. Gomez-Jimenez's, was put in danger due to him being targeted.

## A. Settlement

Defendants agree to settle the above-referenced claim of Plaintiffs including any assigned claims on the terms set forth herein.

### B. This Document Does Not Constitute a Release

Defendants agree that the terms and conditions of this document do not constitute a release. Rather, Defendants acknowledge that, for the duration of this Agreement, they shall be deemed parties, "against whom judgment is taken" pursuant to RCW 4.22.060 and RCW 4.22.070. Defendants further agree that based on the expected testimony of witnesses in this case, if litigation were to continue, it is likely that a judgment in excess of one million dollars would be entered against Defendants.

## C. Assignment

Defendants hereby assign to Plaintiff any and all claims with regard to any insurer for any coverage that Defendants have or should have had for liabilities arising out of the claims made in the Complaint in the above-referenced matter. This assignment includes all claims. The assignment includes, without limitation, all claims against USLI and any other insurer that does or may have coverage for Defendants or which may be liable for bad faith, improper claims handling, violation of statutes and regulations, and recovery of attorneys' fees and costs.

# D. Stipulated Judgment

Defendants hereby agree that pursuant to this Agreement, they shall become a party against whom judgment is taken for all purposes under Washington and federal law.

26.

Defendants hereby consent to have a judgment entered against them, jointly and severally:

- 1) In the amount of \$3,000,000.00 for Plaintiff's claims.
- 2) In amount to be determined by stipulation or, at Plaintiff's option, to be determined by the Court, for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 3) The judgment shall bear interest from December 22, 2016, at the rate of 12% until paid in full.

# E. Reasonableness Hearing

The validity of this Agreement is contingent upon a finding of reasonableness by the Court. In the event that the court does not determine the Agreement to be reasonable, Plaintiff, at his sole option, may void the Agreement and place the matter back on the trial calendar or have the reasonable amount of the settlement determined before an arbitration panel of three arbitrators as explained below.

## F. Cooperation

Defendants agree to provide reasonable cooperation in all matters for all talks and endeavors necessary to carry out or realize the terms of this Agreement, including participation in any reasonableness hearing or related proceedings regarding any and all claims that Plaintiff through this Agreement has the right to pursue against Defendants' insurer. Defendants will, among other things, attend such proceedings without compulsion of subpoenas and provide necessary documents and truthful testimony.

# G. Covenant Not To Execute or Enforce Judgment

In consideration of the above covenants, Plaintiff hereby agrees, covenants, and warrants that he will never execute upon or attempt to enforce any judgment against the assets of Defendants beyond insurance assets referenced herein unless the Agreement is

21

22

23.

24.

25.

26.

not determined to be reasonable, as discussed in paragraph E above. Rather, Plaintiff shall limit his recovery against USLI and any other insurers who are liable for the claims, the assigned claims, policies of insurance, and remedies identified in this Agreement.

# H. Covenant to Enter Full Satisfaction of Judgment in Favor of Defendants

Plaintiff shall execute and file a full satisfaction of judgment in favor of Defendants upon final resolution or dismissal of the assigned claims. Plaintiff shall, upon request, issue written confirmation to any third party designated by Defendants that Plaintiff shall not execute on this Stipulated Judgment beyond the insurance assets referenced herein unless the Agreement is not determined to be reasonable, as discussed in paragraph E above.

## I. Arbitration

If the amount of the stipulated judgment is not found reasonable by any court, then at the Plaintiff's sole election, the Parties agree to submit the issue of the amount of damages owed to an arbitration panel at JAMs composed of three arbitrators to be governed by the JAMS Arbitration employment rules in Seattle.

# J. Warranty of Authority

The Parties and attorneys listed below hereby warrant that they have the authority to enter into this agreement and that they do so with the full knowledge of the consequences in an attempt to commit themselves to the obligations set forth above.

#### K. Execution of This Document

The Parties agree that this document may be executed in counterparts and by faxed signature pages.

COVENANT NOT TO EXECUTE - 11

1.		•
2.	Dated:	Dated: 12/2016
3.		1 11 1
4.		William 1957
5.	Kenny Down Title:	Michael Barcott, WSBA No. 13317 Holmes Weddle & Barcott
6.	For Defendants	999 3rd Ave Ste 2600
7.		Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 292-8008
8.		Fax: (206) 340-0289 Email: mbarcott@hwb-law.com
9.		
10.		Attorneys for Defendants
14.		
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.	Dated: 12/22/16	Dated: 12/22/16
17.	)	Dailed: 12/10/10
18.		1 3
19.	Francisco Miranda	Scott C. G. Blankenship, WSB No. 21431 Richard E. Goldsworthy, WSBA No. 40684
20.	Plaintiff —	Robin J. Shishido, WSBA No. 45926 The Blankenship Law Firm, P.S.
21.		1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3250 Seattle, WA 98104
22.		Telephone: (206) 343-2700
23.		Fax: (206) 343-2704 Email:sblankenship@blankenshiplawfirm.com
24.		rgoldsworthy@blankenshiplawfirm.com rshishido@blankenshiplawfirm.com
25.		Attorneys for Plaintiff
26.		

STIPULATED JUDGMENT, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND

COVENANT NOT TO EXECUTE - 12

HOLMES WEDDLE & BARCOTT, PC 999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 2600 SEATILE, WA 98104-4011 TELEPHONE (206) 292-8008 FAX (206) 340-0289

1.			
2.	Dated: $12/23/16$		Dated: 12/22/16
3.	, (	į.	11 .
4.	XIZ	, ,,	Mela Vallas
5.	Kenny Down		Michael Barcott, WSBA No. 13317
6.	Title: President / CEO For Defendants Blue North		Holmes Weddle & Barcott 999 3rd Ave Ste 2600
7.	A thorized agent for		Seattle, WA 98104
8.	Prowler Fisherms LLC		Telephone: (206) 292-8008 Fax: (206) 340-0289
9.	2930 Westlake Auc N. Scattle, WA 98109		Email: mbarcott@hwb-law.com
10.	Telephone: 206-352-9252		Attorneys for Defendants
11.	email: Kenny@blueworth.com		
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			, 1
16.	Dated:		Dated: 12/22/16
17.			X 72 0
18.			THE CAPITAL WORLD AND ALLAND
19.	Francisco Miranda		Scott C. G. Blankenship, WSBA No. 21431 Richard E. Goldsworthy, WSBA No. 40684
20.	Plaintiff		Robin J. Shishido, WSBA No. 45926 The Blankenship Law Firm, P.S.
21.			1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3250
22.			Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 343-2700
23.			Fax: (206) 343-2704 Email:sblankenship@blankenshiplawfirm.com
24.			rgoldsworthy@blankenshiplawfirm.com
25.			rshishido@blankenshiplawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

STIPULATED JUDGMENT, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND COVENANT NOT TO EXECUTE - 12

26.

HOLMES WEDDLE & BARCOTT, PC
999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 2600
SEATTLE, WA 98104-4011
TELEPHONE (206) 292-8008
FAX (206) 340-0289